

NSPS Successes Transitioning to CSC Challenges

6 Jun 14



- Thales was selected by Seaspan as a Tier 1 partner at the very beginning of NSPS
- Supported Seaspan RFP response with input to plans associated with:
 - Combat Systems experience
 - Design capability
 - Test and acceptance
 - o ILS
 - o ISS
 - Etc....
- Thales tasked to provide the above capabilities for all Mission systems within the NSPS non-combat package
- > Thales will be pursuing CSC as a CSI!



- Very effective process that enabled selection of a shipbuilding team without external interference
- For an extremely difficult procurement activity met the timeline challenges
- Thales perception of how did they do this:
 - 3 tenets of NSPS:
 - Industry engagement: well established communication links with the potential Primes and Government
 - Governance structure: well established with logical escalation if required
 - Independent 3rd party assessment: FMI was very effective in base lining teams and establishing metrics to assess plans. Credibility unquestioned.



Other considerations

- Down select to the potential Yards was done quickly.
- Smaller groups able to aggressively deal with major issues and arrived at consensus in a timely fashion
- Agreement on means to evaluate winning yards arrived at in an integrated team fashion
- FMI evaluations of yards done in a very focused fashion with strong proven metrics demanding demonstration of capabilities to evaluate base line status of each yard.
- RFP Capability assessments clear on expectations for the Prime and where partners can be utilized to deliver capability not existing in the Yard.
- Target state well defined up front with clear requirements on the RFP plans required to demonstrate the Yards ability to meet these target states.
- FMI engagement well planned and executed with excellent feedback and a means to challenge their assessments to ensure an accurate score.
- Value proposition in its embryonic state. Value to Canada in developing the Maritime domain critical to its evaluation.



NSPS 3 tenants:

Industry engagement:

PMO CSC has been very active with engaging industry in their IE workshops.

Governance structure:

- Still waiting for this to be finalized and published. Assess NSPS structure method will work for this program
- Decisions still required to clarify roles and responsibilities
 - Prime? CSI? ship design? team?

Third Party Independent Assessor

Unsure on who would have the credibility to deliver what FMI did for NSPS.
 Challenge for CSC.



- Down select to the potential "Teams" be done quickly.
 - Procurement decisions delay this process. Ideally, doing SOIQ to reduce group ASAP would help focus discussions
- > Smaller groups established to be able to deal with major issues and arrived at consensus in a timely fashion.
 - Fully support and an effective means to get buy in from Industries.
- Agreement on means to evaluate winning "Teams" arrived at in an integrated fashion
 - ◆ CSC is an NSPS program. The unique CSC program has its own complexities. Like NSPS there will be winner and losers. Doing the above will take time.
- Independent 3rd Party evaluations of "Teams" done in a very focused fashion with strong proven metrics demanding demonstration of capabilities to evaluate base lines.
 - Clear set of evaluation criteria used to assess best value for money to Crown. This
 must encompass costs, capabilities, risks and value proposition. CSC challenge well
 underway.
 - Clear means to be able to effectively measure the ability of Industries to meet targets.
 Evaluation methodology i.e. simulations, plans, demonstrations. CSC challenge well underway.

- RFP Capability assessments clear on expectations for the Prime? (Shipyard, CSI, Design) and where partners can be utilized to deliver capability not existing in the "Team".
 - Clear delineation of roles and responsibilities will be required soon as "Teams" await way ahead.
 - Prime decision critical to ability of Industry to progress.
 - Canada principle on "competition" is needed by Industry to define expectations on the "Team"
- Target state, "CSC Capabilities", well defined up front with clear requirements on the RFP where plans / solutions are required to demonstrate the "Teams" capabilities.
 - Requirement definition critical. Recent RFIs are a good start to better understand. How
 does Canada deliver a bulletproof SRD? If not then what requirements are used to baseline
 in an unbiased fashion? CSC challenge well underway.
- 3rd Party engagement well planned and executed with excellent feedback and a means to challenge their assessments to ensure an accurate score.
 - Not sure this can happen in CSC. Ideal but fraught with risk.
- Value proposition under development. Much more advanced than NSPS. Absolutely required for CSC and Canadian industry.



- CSC is a very complex procurement that will have its own challenges.
- NSPS is a good base model but is not the panacea for all aspects.
- Evaluation methodology will be the key to its ultimate success.
- ▶ Independent 3rd party assessment will be a challenge as the benchmark are these Industries.
- PMO CSC are proactively working on the Challenges. Industry standing by and will help when called upon.
- Excellent areas for Dalhousie to provide support!

